International application No.

PCT/US2008/087265

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

G06F 17/00(2006.01)i, G06F 15/16(2006.01)i

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 8: G06F 3/00, G06F 11/36, G09G 5/00

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Korean Utility models and applications for Utility models since 1975 Japanese Utility models and applications for Utility models since 1975

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) eKIPASS (KIPO internal): "script and function and call and intercept"

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

1,7,15
2-6, 8-14, 16-20
1,7,15
2-6, 8-14, 16-20
1-20

	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.
*	Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or pr
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered		date and not in conflict with the application but cited to under
	to be of particular relevance		the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot
	filing date		considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inver-

- document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of citation or other special reason (as specified)
- document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other
- document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- priority derstand
- considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 25 JUNE 2009 (25.06.2009) 25 JUNE 2009 (25.06.2009)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office Government Complex-Daejeon, 139 Seonsa-ro, Seogu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

KIM, SANG CHEOL

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8521



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2008/087265

Information on	patent family members	PCT/US2008/087		
Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
US 2005-0182924 A1	18.08,2005	CN 1886725 A EP 1716480 A2 JP 2007-522581 T KR 10-2006-0122674 A KR 10-2009-0005228 A US 7111246 B2 WO 2005-081665 A2 WO 2005-081665 A3	27.12.2006 02.11.2006 09.08.2007 30.11.2006 12.01.2009 19.09.2006 09.09.2005 20.04.2006	
US 2003-0088807 A1	08.05.2003	None		
US 2005-0066290 A1	24.03.2005	None		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

MICROSOFT CORPORATION			PCT
Attention - Sharon Rydberg 8/2321 LCA, International Patent Department One Microsoft Way Redmond, Washington 98052-6399 USA		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2.	5 JUNE 2009 (25.06.2009)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 322493.03 WO		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US2008/087265 International Patent Classification (IPC) o	International filing date 17 DECEMBER 2	008 (17.12.2008)	Priority date(day/month/year) 11 JANUARY 2008 (11.01.2008)
Applicant MICROSOFT CORPORATION	6.01)i	nion and IPC	
Box No. IV Lack of unity o Box No. V Reasoned staten citations and exp Box No. VI Certain docume	ent of opinion with regar f invention nent under Rule 43bis.1(a planations supporting suc nts cited in the international appl	d to novelty, inventive s a)(i) with regard to nove th statement	step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
other than this one to be the IPEA and opinions of this International Searchin If this opinion is, as provided above, c	Authority ("IPEA") except the chosen IPEA has not g Authority will not be some sidered to be a written appropriate, with amendrapiration of 22 months from the contract of the second se	ot that this does not appl tified the International E o considered. opinion of the IPEA, the ments, before the expirat	y where the applicant chooses an Authority Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written are applicant is invited to submit to the tion of 3 months from the date of mailing
3. For further details, see notes to Form F	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR	Date of comple	etion of this opinion A	uthorized officer

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR
Korean Intellectual Property Office
Government Complex-Daejeon, 139
Seonsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302
-701, Republic of Korea Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Date of completion of this opinion

25 JUNE 2009 (25.06.2009)

KIM, SANG CHEOL

Telephone No.82-42-481-8521



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US2008/087265

Во	x No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
2.	This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a))
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been
	filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additioanl copies is identical to that
	in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US2008/087265

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims	None	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-6, 8-14, 16-20	YES
		Claims	1,7,15	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2005-0182924 A1 D2: US 2003-0088807 A1 D3: US 2005-0066290 A1

- 1. Novelty and Inventive Step
- 1.1 Independent Claim [1, 7 and 15]

Claims 1, 7 and 15 are independent claims in the present invention.

D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art to the subject matter of the present invention, discloses a method comprising; loading a web page, detecting objects by a object detector, and offering a decision opportunity to the user.

Claim 1 or claim 15 differs from D1 in that document D1 does not contain the detail concept of intercepting a script.

However, D2 teaches the idea of intercepting function calls at the interceptor library for check-pointing an application within a computer system (see paragraph 0035).

Accordingly, claims 1 and 15, which are a method of evaluating a web interactive component and a method of securing a web interactive function, respectively, would have been obvious over D1 in view of D2.

Therefore, claims 1 and 15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

Claim 7 relates to a system for a evaluating security of script content.

And, D1 discloses a system having the function of the security in a computer environment. And also, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to apply the feature of the intercepting of D2 to the system of D1.

Therefore, claim 7 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

1.2 Dependent Claim [2~6, 8~14, 16~20]

The additional features of dependent claims $2\sim6$, $8\sim14$, and $16\sim20$ are neither disclosed nor suggested by any one of the available prior art documents.

(to be continued to Supplemental Box)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US2008/087265

Supplemental Box
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:
(Continuation of Box No. v)
Therefore, a combination of at least one of these claims with the claims to which they refer could be considered as novel and inventive under PCT Article 33(2) and (3).
2. Industrial Applicability
Claims 1~20 are industrially applicable under PCT Article 33(4).